arXiv:1006.1538v1 [math.SP] 8 Jun 2010

Periodic Jacobi operator with finitely supported perturbations

Alexei Iantchenko [*] Evgeny Korotyaev [†]

June 9, 2010

Abstract

We describe the spectral properties of the Jacobi operator $(Hy)_n = a_{n-1}y_{n-1}+a_ny_{n+1}+b_ny_n$, $n\in\mathbb{Z}$, with $a_n=a_n^0+u_n$, $b_n=b_n^0+v_n$, where sequences $a_n^0>0$, $b_n^0\in\mathbb{R}$ are periodic with period q, and sequences u_n, v_n have compact support. In the case $u_n\equiv 0$ we obtain the asymptotics of the spectrum in the limit of small perturbations v_n.

1 Introduction

Let H^0 denote the q–periodic Jacobi matrix associated with the equation

$$(H^0y)_n = a_{n-1}^0y_{n-1}+a_n^0y_{n+1}+b_n^0y_n=\lambda y_n,\ (\lambda,n)\in\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{Z} \tag{1.1}$$

where the sequences $a_n^0, b_n^0\in\mathbb{R}$ verify $a_n^0=a_{n+q}^0>0,\ b_n^0=b_{n+q}^0\in\mathbb{R},\ n\in\mathbb{Z}$. We use also notation $a^0=(a_n^0)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$, $b^0=(b_n^0)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$. In this paper we consider the spectral properties of a finitely supported perturbation of H^0 defined as follows. Let $u=(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$, $v=(v_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be finite sequences satisfying

$$u_n,v_n\in\mathbb{R},\ u_n=0,\ v_n=0 \text{ for } n<0 \text{ and } n>p,\ v_0,v_p\neq 0. \tag{1.2}$$

Let H denote the infinite Jacobi matrix associated with the equation

$$(Hy)_n=a_{n-1}y_{n-1}+a_ny_{n+1}+b_ny_n=\lambda y_n,\quad a_n=a_n^0+u_n\quad b_n=b_n^0+v_n,\ (\lambda,n)\in\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{Z}. \tag{1.3}$$

For $a_n=1$, $n\in\mathbb{Z}$, the operator H is the finite difference Schrödinger operator with finitely supported potential.

A lot of papers is devoted to the direct and inverse resonance problems for the Schrödinger operator $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}+q(x)$ on the line $\mathbb{R}$ with compactly supported perturbation (see [S], [Fr], [Z] and references given there).

---

[*] Institute of Mathematics and Physics, Aberystwyth Univ., Penglais, Ceredigion, SY23 3BZ, UK, email: aii@aber.ac.uk

[†] Sankt Petersburg, e-mail: korotyaev@gmail.com

The problem of resonances for the Schrödinger with periodic plus compactly supported potential $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}+p(x)+q(x)$ is much less studied: [F1], [KM], [K3].

The inverse resonance problem is not yet solved. Finite-difference Schrödinger and Jacobi operators express many similar features. Spectral and scattering properties of infinite Jacobi matrices are much studied (see [Mo], [DS1], [DS1] and references given there). The inverse problem was solved for periodic Jacobi operators: [P].

The inverse scattering problem for asymptotically periodic coefficients was solved by Ag. Kh. Khanmamedov: [Kh1] (on the line, the russian versions are dated much earlier) and I. Egorova, J. Michor, G. Teschl [EMT] (on the line in case of quasi-periodic background).

The resonance problems are less studied (see M.Marletta and R.Weikard [MW]). The inverse resonances problem was recently solved in the case of constant background [K2]. In the present article we consider the direct resonance problem in the case of periodic background. The results are applied in in [IK1] to the inverse resonance problem. In [IK2] and [IK3] we solved the direct and inverse resonance problems on the half-lattice and applied the results to the zigzag half-nanotube in magnetic field.

Let $\varphi=(\varphi_n(z))_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\vartheta=(\vartheta_n(z))_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be fundamental solutions for equation (1.1), under the conditions $\vartheta_0=\varphi_1=1$ and $\vartheta_1=\varphi_0=0$. Let $\psi^{\pm}=\vartheta+m_{\pm}\varphi$ be Floquet-Bloch functions (see Section 2). Here $m_{\pm}$ are the Titchmarch-Weyl functions.

Denote $\Delta(\lambda)=2^{-1}(\varphi_{q+1}+\vartheta_q)$ the Lyapunov function. Then it is known that the zeros $\{E_j\}_{j=1}^{2q}$ of the polynomial Δ^2-1 of degree $2q$ can be enumerated as follows $\lambda_0^+<\lambda_1^-\leqslant\lambda_1^+<\ldots<\lambda_{q-1}^-\leqslant\lambda_{q-1}^+<\lambda_q^-$, where $\lambda_0^+=\lambda_0^-$ and $\lambda_q^-=\lambda_q^+$. Then the spectrum of H^0 in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ is absolutely continuous and consists of q zones $\sigma_n=[\lambda_{n-1}^+,\lambda_n^-],\ n=1,\ldots,q$, separated by gaps $\gamma_n=(\lambda_n^-,\lambda_n^+)$. In each gap there is one simple zero of polynomials $\varphi_q(\lambda)$, $\Delta(\lambda)$, $\vartheta_{q+1}(\lambda)$. Note that $\Delta(\lambda_n^{\pm})=(-1)^{q-n}$.

We use the standard definition of the root: $\sqrt{1}=1$ and fix the branch of the function $\Omega(\lambda)=\sqrt{1-\Delta^2(\lambda)}$ on $\mathbb{C}$ by demanding

$$\Omega(\lambda)=\sqrt{1-\Delta^2(\lambda)}<0, \qquad \text{for} \quad \lambda\in(\lambda_{q-1}^+,\lambda_q^-).$$

Now we introduce the two-sheeted Riemann surface Λ of $\sqrt{\Delta^2(\lambda)-1}$ obtained by joining the upper and lower rims of two copies of the cut plane $\Gamma=\mathbb{C}\setminus\sigma_{\rm ac}(H^0)$ in the usual (crosswise) way. The $k-$th gap on the first physical sheet Λ_+ we will denote by γ_k^+ and the same gap but on the second nonphysical sheet Λ_- we will denote by γ_k^- and let $\gamma_k^{\rm c}$ be the union of $\overline{\gamma_k^+}$ and $\overline{\gamma_k^-}$:

$$\gamma_k^{\rm c}=\overline{\gamma_k^+}\cup\overline{\gamma_k^-}. \tag{1.4}$$

The finitely supported perturbation (u,v) does not change the absolutely continuous spectrum: $\sigma_{\rm ac}(H)=\sigma_{\rm ac}(H^0)=\bigcup_{n=1}^q[\lambda_{n-1}^+,\lambda_n^-]$.

Introduce the function

$$\alpha(\lambda)=C\det((H-\lambda)(H^0-\lambda)^{-1})=C\det(I+(H-H^0)(H^0-\lambda)^{-1}), \quad C=\prod_{j=0}^{p}\frac{a_j}{a_j},$$

which is meromorphic on Λ, see [F1]. Recall that $T=1/\alpha$ is the transmission coefficient in the $S-$matrix for the pair H,H^0 (see Section 3). If α has some poles, then they coincide with some $\lambda_k^\pm$. It is well known that if $\alpha(\lambda)=0$ for some zero $\lambda\in\Lambda_+$, then λ is an eigenvalue of H and $\lambda\in\cup\gamma_k^+$. Note that there are no eigenvalues on the spectrum $\sigma_{\rm ac}(H^0)\subset\Lambda_+$ since $|\alpha(\lambda)|\geqslant 1$ on $\sigma_{\rm ac}(H^0)$.

With $\Omega(\lambda)=(1-\Delta^2(\lambda))^{1/2}$ we define the functions A, J by

$$J(\lambda)=2\Omega(\lambda+i0)\operatorname{Im}\alpha(\lambda+i0),\quad A(\lambda)=\operatorname{Re}\alpha(\lambda+i0)-1,\quad \text{for } \lambda\in\sigma\left(H^0\right)\subset\Lambda_+.$$

These functions were introduced for the Schrödinger operator on $\mathbb{R}$ with periodic plus compactly supported potentials by the second author in [K1]. We show that A, J are polynomials on $\mathbb{C}$ and they are real on the real line. Instead of the function α we consider the modified function $\xi=2i\Omega\alpha$ on Λ. We show that ξ satisfies

$$\xi=2i\Omega\alpha=2i\Omega(1+A)-J\quad \text{on } \Lambda. \tag{1.5}$$

Recall that Ω is analytic on Λ and $\Omega=0$ for some $\lambda\in\Lambda$ iff $\lambda=\lambda_k^-$ or $\lambda=\lambda_k^+$ for some $k\geqslant 0$. Then the function ξ is analytic on Λ and has branch points $\lambda_n^\pm$, if $\gamma_n\neq\emptyset$. The zeros of ξ define the eigenvalues and the resonances. Define the set

$$\Lambda_0=\{\lambda\in\Lambda:\ \lambda=\lambda_k^+\in\Lambda_+ \text{ and } \lambda=\lambda_k^+\in\Lambda_-,\ \gamma_k=\emptyset\}\subset\Lambda.$$

In fact with each $\gamma_k=\emptyset$ we associate two points $\lambda_k^+\in\Lambda_+$ and $\lambda_k^+\in\Lambda_-$ from the set Λ_0. If each gap of H^0 is not empty, then $\Lambda_0=\emptyset$.

Definition 1. *Each zero of ξ on $\Lambda\setminus\Lambda_0$ is a state of H.*
1) A state $\lambda\in\Lambda_+$ is a bound state.
2) A state $\lambda\in\Lambda_-$ is a resonance.
3) A state $\lambda=\lambda_k^\pm$, $k=1,\ldots,q$ is a virtual state.
A resonance $\lambda\in\cup\gamma_k^-\subset\Lambda_-$ is an anti-bound state.

This definition is motivated by the representation (3.23) of the resolvent. It is known that the gaps $\gamma_k=\emptyset$ do not give contribution to the states. Recall that $S-$matrix for H, H^0 is meromorphic on Λ, but it is analytic at the points from Λ_0 (see [F1]). Roughly speaking there is no difference between the points from Λ_0 and other points inside the spectrum of H^0.

We prove the following result.

Theorem 1.1 (Total number of states)**.** *Let a^0, b^0 be q–periodic sequences as in (1.1) and u, v be a finitely supported perturbations satisfying (1.2). If $u_p\neq 0$ then H has in total $2p+2q-1$ states. If $u_p=0$ then the number of states is $2p+2q-2$.*

Theorem 1.1 follows from the properties of function $\xi(\lambda)$ formulated in Theorem 5.1.

Theorem 1.2. *Let a^0, b^0 be q–periodic sequences as in (1.1) and u, v be finitely supported perturbations satisfying (1.2). Then ξ satisfies (1.5) and the following properties hold.*
i) There exists an even number of states (counted with multiplicities) on each set $\gamma_k^{\rm c}\neq\emptyset$, $k=1,\ldots,q-1$, where $\gamma_k^{\rm c}$ is a union of the physical gap $\overline{\gamma}_k^+$ and non-physical gap $\overline{\gamma}_k^-$ (see

(1.4)).
ii) Let $\lambda \in \gamma_k^+$ be a bound state for some $k = 0, \dots, q$, i.e. $\xi(\lambda) = 0$. Let $\tilde{\lambda} \in \gamma_k^- \subset \Lambda_-$ be the same number but on the second sheet Λ_+. Then $\tilde{\lambda} \in \gamma_k^-$ is not an antibound state i.e. $\xi(\tilde{\lambda}) \neq 0$.
iii) Let N be the total number of bound states. Then $N + q$ is even.

The properies i),ii) follows from Lemma 3.2. The property iii) is proved in [IK1].
For each $k = 1, \dots, q-1$ there exists a unique point $\alpha_k \in [\lambda_k^-, \lambda_k^+]$ such that

$$(-1)^{q-k}\Delta(\alpha_k) = \max_{\lambda \in [\lambda_k^-, \lambda_k^+]} |\Delta(\lambda)| = \cosh h_k \tag{1.6}$$

for some $h_k \geqslant 0$.

Let μ_k , $k > 1$ be the Dirichlet spectrum of the equation $H^0 y = \lambda y_n$ on the interval $[0, q]$ with the boundary condition $y_0 = y_q = 0$. They are zeros of $\varphi_q(\lambda)$. It is well known that each $\mu_k \in [\lambda_k^-, \lambda_k^+]$, $k = 1, \dots, q-1 > 1$.

Theorem 1.3 (Small perturbations). *Let $t > 0$. Suppose $u_j = 0$, and write tv_j instead of v_j. Let $\gamma_n \equiv (\lambda_n^-, \lambda_n^+) \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists $t_0 > 0$ small enough such that for all $t \in (0, t_0)$ the following statements hold true.*
i) In each $\overline{\gamma}_k \neq \emptyset$, $k = 1, \dots, q-1$, there are exactly two simple real states $\lambda_k^\pm(t) \in \gamma_k^c$ such that $\lambda_k^- \leqslant \lambda_k^-(t) < \alpha_k < \lambda_k^+(t) \leqslant \lambda_k^+$. Moreover, if λ_0 is one of $\lambda_n^\pm(t)$ and satisfies $(-1)^{q-n+1}J(\lambda_0) > 0$ (or $(-1)^{q-n+1}J(\lambda_0) < 0$ or $J(\lambda_0) = 0$), then λ_0 is a bound state (or an anti-bound state or a virtual state).
ii) The following asymptotics hold true

$$\lambda_n^\pm(t) = \lambda_n^\pm + t^2 \frac{J_1^2(\lambda_n^\pm)}{4(\Delta^2)'(\lambda_n^\pm)} + \mathcal{O}(t^3),$$

where $J(\lambda_n^\pm) = tJ_1(\lambda_n^\pm) + \mathcal{O}(t^2)$ and, if $\lambda_n^\pm \neq \mu_n$ then

$$J_1(\lambda_n^\pm) = -\frac{\varphi_q(\lambda_n^\pm)}{a_0^0} \sum_{k=0}^{p} v_k |\psi_k^+(\lambda_n^\pm)|^2,$$

otherwise if $\lambda_n^+ = \mu_n$ or $\lambda_n^- = \mu_n$ then

$$J_1(\mu_n) = \frac{\vartheta_{q+1}(\mu_n)}{a_0^0} \sum_{k=1}^{p} v_k (\varphi_k(\mu_n))^2.$$

Remark. Suppose $v_k > 0$, $k = 1, \dots, p$ and $\lambda_n^\pm \neq \mu_n$. Then for $n = 1, \dots, q-1$, we have $(-1)^{q-n+1}J_1(\lambda_n^-) > 0$ and $\lambda_n^-(t)$ is bound state and $\lambda_n^+(t)$ is antibound state. If $\lambda_n^- = \mu_n$ then we get that $\lambda_n^-(t)$ is antibound state and $\lambda_n^+(t)$ is bound state. Similar in other cases. We have that if all u_k, $k = 0, \dots, p$, have constant sign then for t small enough there is exactly one bound state in each open gap $\gamma_k^+ \neq \emptyset$, $k = 1, \dots, q-1$.

If in the contrary the sign of u_k is not constant then there can be open gaps γ_n with exactly two bound states or exactly two antibound states, namely if

$$\operatorname{sign}\sum_{k=0}^{p} v_k|\psi_k^+(\lambda_n^-)|^2 = \operatorname{sign}\sum_{k=0}^{p} v_k|\psi_k^+(\lambda_n^+)|^2, \quad \text{if } \lambda_n^\pm \neq \mu_n,$$

and similar in the other cases.

2 Periodic Jacobi matrices.

We recall some known properties of the $q-$periodic Jacobi matrix

$$H^0 = \begin{pmatrix} \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & a_0^0 & b_1^0 & a_1^0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \dots & 0 & a_1^0 & b_2^0 & a_2^0 & 0 & \dots \\ \dots & 0 & 0 & a_2^0 & b_3^0 & b_3^0 & \dots \\ \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_3^0 & b_4^0 & \dots \\ \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_4^0 & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix}, \quad a_n^0 = a_{n+q}^0 > 0,\ b_n^0 = b_{n+q}^0 \in \mathbb{R},\ n \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{2.7}$$

associated with the equation (1.1): $(H^0y)_n = a_{n-1}^0 y_{n-1} + a_n^0 y_{n+1} + b_n^0 y_n = \lambda y_n$, $(\lambda, n) \in \mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{Z}$.

As before we denote $\Delta(\lambda) = 2^{-1}(\varphi_{q+1}+\vartheta_q)$ the Lyapunov function, where $\varphi = (\varphi_n(\lambda))_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\vartheta = (\vartheta_n(\lambda))_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ are the fundamental solutions for equation (1.1) satisfying $\vartheta_0 = \varphi_1 = 1$ and $\vartheta_1 = \varphi_0 = 0$.

Let

$$A = \prod_{j=1}^{q} a_j^0 > 0,\ B = \sum_{j=1}^{q} b_j^0.$$

We have

$$\vartheta_n(\lambda) = -\frac{a_0^0}{a_1^0 \dots a_{n-1}^0}\lambda^{n-2} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{n-3}), \quad \varphi_n(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^{n-1}}{a_1^0 \dots a_{n-1}^0} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{n-2}). \tag{2.8}$$

We denote the zeros of φ_q resp. ϑ_{q+1} by $\mu_n \in \gamma_n$, resp. $\nu_n \in \gamma_n$, $n = 1, q-1$ (Dirichlet or Neumann eigenvalues). Then

$$\varphi_q = \frac{a_0^0}{A}\prod_{j=1}^{q-1}(\lambda - \mu_j), \quad \vartheta_{q+1} = \frac{-a_0^0}{A}\prod_{j=1}^{q-1}(\lambda - \nu_j).$$

The zeros $\{E_j\}_{j=0}^{2q-1}$ of the polynomial $\Delta^2 - 1$ of degree $2q$ can be enumerated as follows

$$E_0 \equiv \lambda_0^+ < E_1 \equiv \lambda_1^- \leqslant E_2 \equiv \lambda_1^+ < \ldots < E_{2q-3} \equiv \lambda_{q-1}^- \leqslant E_{2q-2} \equiv \lambda_{q-1}^+ < E_{2q-1} \equiv \lambda_q^-,$$

where $\lambda_0^+ = \lambda_0^-$ and $\lambda_q^- = \lambda_q^+$. Then the spectrum of H^0 on $\ell^2(-\infty, +\infty)$ is absolutely continuous and consists of q zones $\sigma_n = [\lambda_{n-1}^+, \lambda_n^-]$, $n = 1, \ldots, q$ separated by gaps $\gamma_n =$

$(\lambda_n^-,\lambda_n^+)$. We denote $\gamma_0=(-\infty,\lambda_0^+)$, $\gamma_q=(\lambda_q^+,+\infty)$, the infinite gaps. In each gap γ_n, $n=1,\dots,q-1$, there is one simple zero of polynomials $\varphi_q(\lambda)$, $\Delta(\lambda)$, $\vartheta_{q+1}(\lambda)$. Note that $\Delta(\lambda_n^\pm)=(-1)^{q-n}$.

Let Γ be complex λ-plane with cuts along segments σ_n, $n=1,2,\dots,q$. Γ can be identified with Λ^+ and on Γ we omit the index $+$. On the plane Γ consider the function

$$z=z(\lambda)=\Delta(\lambda)+\sqrt{\Delta^2(\lambda)-1},$$

fixing the branch by the condition $\sqrt{\Delta^2(\lambda)-1}<0$ for $\lambda>\lambda_q^-$ (in accordance with Introduction). Then

$$\sqrt{\Delta^2(\lambda)-1}=\frac{-1}{2A}\prod_{j=0}^{2q-1}\sqrt{\lambda-E_j}. \tag{2.9}$$

Then function $z=z(\lambda)$ is continuous up to the boundary $\partial\Gamma$ and has the properties:
$|z|<1$ for $\lambda\in\Gamma$, and $|z|=1$ for $\lambda\in\partial\Gamma$. Moreover (Teschl page 116 (7.12)) for $\lambda\in\Lambda_+$,

$$z^{\pm1}\equiv\xi^\pm(\lambda)=(2\Delta(\lambda))^\mp\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\lambda^{-2q}\right)\right)=\left(\frac{A}{\lambda^q}\right)^{\pm1}\left(1\pm\frac{B}{\lambda}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2}\right)\right).$$

We have

$$2i\Omega=z-z^{-1}=\mp\frac{\lambda^q}{A}\left(1\mp\frac{B}{\lambda}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2}\right)\right),\quad \lambda\in\Lambda_\pm.$$

Then $z(\lambda)=\xi_+(\lambda)=e^{iq\varkappa(\lambda)}$ is the first Floquet multiplier and $\varkappa(\lambda)$ is quasimomentum. The second Floquet multiplier is then $\xi_-(\lambda)=\overline{z(\lambda)}$. We denote also $e^{i\varkappa(\lambda)}=\omega$, so $z(\lambda)=\xi_+(\lambda)=\omega^q$.

For each $k=1,\dots,q-1$ there exists an unique point $\alpha_k\in[\lambda_k^-,\lambda_k^+]$ such that $(-1)^{k-q}\Delta(\alpha_k)=\max_{\lambda\in[\lambda_k^-,\lambda_k^+]}|\Delta(\lambda)|=\cosh h_k$.

On each γ_k^+, $k=0,1,\dots,q$, the quasi-momentum $\varkappa(\lambda)$ has constant real part $\mathrm{Re}(\varkappa)=\frac{q-k}{q}\pi$, $\varkappa(\lambda_k^-)=\varkappa(\lambda_k^+)=\frac{q-k}{q}\pi$, and positive $\mathrm{Im}(\varkappa)$. Moreover, as λ increases from λ_k^- to λ_k^+, the imaginary part $\mathrm{Im}(\varkappa)\equiv v(\lambda)$ starts by increasing from 0 to $h_k=\frac{1}{i}(\varkappa(\alpha_k)-\frac{q-k}{q}\pi)$, then decreases from h_k to 0. Then

$$\sqrt{\Delta^2(\lambda)-1}=i\sin q\varkappa=2^{-1}e^{i(q-k)\pi}(e^{-qv}-e^{qv})=-(-1)^{q-k}\sinh qv, \tag{2.10}$$

and also $\sinh qv=-2^{-1}(\omega^q-\omega^{-q})>0$.

Equation (1.1) has two solutions (Bloch functions) $\psi_n^\pm=\psi_n^\pm(\lambda)$ which satisfy $\psi_{kq}^\pm=\xi_\pm^k$, $k\in\mathbb{Z}$, and at the end points of the gaps we have $|\psi_{kq}^\pm(\lambda_n^\pm)|=1$. As for any $\lambda\in\Gamma$ we have $\psi^\pm\in\ell^2(0,\pm\infty)$, then functions $\psi^\pm(\lambda)$ are the Floquet solutions for (1.1):

$$\psi_n^\pm(\lambda)=\vartheta_n(\lambda)+m_\pm(\lambda)\varphi_n(\lambda), \tag{2.11}$$

$$m_\pm(\lambda)=\frac{\phi\pm\sqrt{\Delta^2(\lambda)-1}}{\varphi_q},\ \phi=\frac{\varphi_{q+1}-\vartheta_q}{2},\ \lambda\in\Gamma. \tag{2.12}$$

Thus

$$m_+ m_- = -\frac{\vartheta_{q+1}}{\varphi_q}. \tag{2.13}$$

This equality considered at zeros of polynomial $\varphi_q(\lambda)$ shows that if μ_n, $n = 1, \ldots, q-1$, is not a virtual state then we have either (i) m_+ has simple pole at μ_n, m_- is regular or (ii) m_- has simple pole at μ_n, m_+ is regular. Thus one of the solutions $\psi_n^{\pm}(\lambda)$ is regular, the other has simple poles, one in each finite gap γ_n, $n = 1, \ldots, q-1$.

We have also

$$m_+ = \frac{z - \vartheta_q}{\varphi_q}, \ m_- = \overline{m_+}, \quad \phi^2 + 1 - \Delta^2 = 1 - \varphi_{q+1}\vartheta_q = -\vartheta_{q+1}\varphi_q. \tag{2.14}$$

3 Scattering theory

For a finitely supported sequences u, v satisfying (1.2) we consider the infinite Jacobi matrix H associated with the equation (1.3):

$$(Hy)_n = a_{n-1}y_{n-1} + a_n y_{n+1} + b_n y_n = \lambda y_n, \quad a_n = a_n^0 + u_n \quad b_n = b_n^0 + v_n$$

$(\lambda, n) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{Z}$.

Let $f^{\pm}$ be the Jost functions $f_n^- = \psi_n^-$, for $n \leqslant 0$; $f_n^+ = \psi_n^+$, for $n > p$. Let $\{\phi_n, \psi_n\} = a_n(\phi_n \psi_{n+1} - \phi_{n+1}\psi_n)$ denote the Wronskian.

We have $f_n^+ = \tilde{\vartheta}_n + m_+ \tilde{\varphi}_n$, $f_n^- = \hat{\vartheta}_n + m_- \hat{\varphi}_n$, $m_-(\lambda) = \overline{m_+(\overline{\lambda})}$, where

$$\hat{\vartheta}_n, \hat{\varphi}_n^- = \vartheta_n, \varphi_n \text{ for } n \leqslant 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\vartheta}_n, \tilde{\varphi}_n = \vartheta_n, \varphi_n \text{ for } n > p.$$

The Jost functions are linearly independent and we have

$$f_n^{\pm}(\lambda) = \alpha(\lambda)\overline{f_n^{\mp}(\lambda)} + \beta_{\mp}(\lambda) f_n^{\mp}(\lambda), \text{ for } \lambda \in \sigma_{\mathrm{ac}}(H^0), \tag{3.15}$$

where

$$\alpha(\lambda) = \frac{\{f^{\mp}(\lambda), f^{\pm}(\lambda)\}}{\{f^{\mp}(\lambda), \overline{f^{\mp}(\lambda)}\}} = \frac{\varphi_q(\lambda)}{a_0^0 2i \sin q\varkappa(\lambda)} \{f^-(\lambda), f^+(\lambda)\},$$
$$\beta_{\pm}(\lambda) = \frac{\{f^{\mp}(\lambda), \overline{f^{\pm}(\lambda)}\}}{\{f^{\pm}(\lambda), \overline{f^{\pm}(\lambda)}\}} = \mp \frac{\varphi_q(\lambda)}{a_0^0 2i \sin q\varkappa(\lambda)} \{f^{\mp}(\lambda), \overline{f^{\pm}(\lambda)}\}$$

We denote

$$s = \{f^+(\lambda), \overline{f^-(\lambda)}\}, \quad w = \{f^-(\lambda), f^+(\lambda)\},$$

$$\Omega(\lambda) = (1 - \Delta^2(\lambda))^{1/2} = \sin q\varkappa(\lambda), \quad \xi = 2i\Omega\alpha, \quad \mathcal{F} = \xi\xi^* = \frac{\varphi_q^2(\lambda)}{(a_0^0)^2} w w^*, \quad \mathcal{S} = \frac{\varphi_q^2(\lambda)}{(a_0^0)^2} s s^*.$$

$$\alpha = \frac{\varphi_q(\lambda)}{a_0^0 2i\Omega} w(\lambda), \quad \beta_+ = -\frac{\varphi_q(\lambda)}{a_0^0 2i\Omega} \overline{s}(\lambda), \quad \beta_- = \frac{\varphi_q(\lambda)}{a_0^0 2i\Omega} s(\lambda), \quad \xi = \frac{\varphi_q(\lambda)}{a_0^0} w(\lambda) \tag{3.16}$$

Then $\overline{s} = -\{f^-(\lambda), \overline{f^+(\lambda)}\}$ and we have

$$|\alpha(\lambda)|^2 = 1 + |\beta_\pm(\lambda)|^2, \text{ and } \overline{\beta_\pm(\lambda)} = -\beta_\mp(\lambda), \tag{3.17}$$

$$ww^* = \frac{(a_0^0)^2 4\Omega^2}{\varphi_q^2(\lambda)} + ss^*, \quad \mathcal{F} = 4\Omega^2 + \mathcal{S}, \quad \Omega^2(\lambda) = 1 - \Delta^2(\lambda) \tag{3.18}$$

We define the scattering matrix

$$S(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} T(\lambda) & R_-(\lambda) \\ R_+(\lambda) & T(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}, \ \lambda \in \sigma(H^0), \tag{3.19}$$

for the pair (H, H^0), where

$$T(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\alpha(\lambda)}, \ R_\pm(\lambda) = \frac{\beta_\pm(\lambda)}{\alpha(\lambda)} = \frac{\mp\{f^\mp(\lambda), \overline{f^\pm(\lambda)}\}}{\{f^-(\lambda), f^+(\lambda)\}}.$$

We have also $R_+ = \overline{s}/w$, and $R_- = s/w$.

The matrix $S(\lambda)$ is unitary: $|T(\lambda)|^2 + |R_\pm(\lambda)|^2 = 1$, $T(\lambda)\overline{R_+(\lambda)} = -\overline{T(\lambda)}R_-(\lambda)$, extends to Λ as a meromorphic function. The quantities T and $R_\pm$ are the transmission and the reflection coefficients respectively:

$$T(\lambda)f_n^\pm(\lambda) = \begin{cases} T(\lambda)\psi_n^\pm(\lambda), & n \to \pm\infty \\ \psi_n^\pm(\lambda) + R_\mp(\lambda)\psi_n^\mp(\lambda), & n \to \mp\infty \end{cases}, \quad \lambda \in \sigma(H^0).$$

The determinant of the scattering matrix is given by

$$\det S(\lambda) = T^2 - R_+R_- = \frac{1}{\alpha^2} + \frac{|\beta|^2}{\alpha^2} = \frac{|\alpha|^2}{\alpha^2} = \frac{\overline{\alpha(\lambda)}}{\alpha(\lambda)}.$$

We have also

$$R_+ = \frac{\overline{s}}{w}, \quad R_- = \frac{s}{w}.$$

Lemma 3.1. *The following identities hold true.*

$$\xi(\lambda) = 2i \sin q\varkappa(1 + A) - J, \quad A = \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{a_0}{a_0^0}\tilde{\varphi}_1 - \varphi_1\right) + \frac{v_0}{a_0^0}\tilde{\varphi}_0 + (\tilde{\vartheta}_0 - \vartheta_0)\right],$$

$$J = -\left[\frac{a_0}{a_0^0}\varphi_q\tilde{\vartheta}_1 + \phi(\frac{a_0}{a_0^0}\tilde{\varphi}_1 - \tilde{\vartheta}_0) + \frac{v_0}{a_0^0}(\varphi_q\tilde{\vartheta}_0 + \phi\tilde{\varphi}_0) + \vartheta_{q+1}\tilde{\varphi}_0\right],$$

$$\xi(\lambda) = 2(-1)^{q-n+1}\sinh v(\lambda)(1 + A) - J(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \gamma_n^\pm \neq \emptyset, \tag{3.20}$$

where $v = \operatorname{Im} \varkappa$ *and* $\pm v(\lambda) > 0$ *for* $\lambda \in \gamma_n^\pm$.

Proof. Let us calculate α. We have $\psi_0^- = 1$, $\psi_1^- = m_-$, then using

$$y_{n-1} = \frac{(\lambda - b_n^0)y_n - a_n^0 y_{n+1}}{a_{n-1}^0}$$

we get

$$\psi_{-1}^{-} = \frac{(\lambda - b_0^0) \cdot \psi_0^- - a_0^0 \psi_1^-}{a_{-1}^0} = \frac{(\lambda - b_0^0) - a_0^0 m_-}{a_{-1}^0}.$$

Now applying

$$y_{n+1} = \frac{(\lambda - b_n) y_n - a_{n-1} y_{n-1}}{a_n}.$$

Now, $f_0^- = \psi_0^- = 1$, $f_{-1}^- = \psi_{-1}^-$. Then

$$f_1^- = \frac{(\lambda - b_0) \cdot f_0^- - a_{-1}^0 f_{-1}^-}{a_0} = \frac{(\lambda - b_0) - (\lambda - b_0^0) \cdot \psi_0^- + a_0^0 \psi_1^-}{a_0} = \frac{a_0^0 m_- - v_0}{a_0}.$$

Recall

$$m_+ = \frac{\omega^q - \vartheta_q}{\varphi_q}, \ m_- = \overline{m_+}.$$

For $|\omega| = 1$, $\omega^2 \neq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} w &= \{f_n^-, f_n^+\} = \text{const} = \{f_0^-, f_0^+\} = a_0 (f_0^- f_1^+ - f_1^- f_0^+) = a_0 f_1^+ + (v_0 - a_0^0 m_-) f_0^+, \\ s &= \{f_n^+, \tilde{f}_n^-\} = \text{const} = \{f_0^+, \tilde{f}_0^-\} = a_0 (f_0^+ \tilde{f}_1^- - f_1^+ \tilde{f}_0^-) = (a_0^0 \tilde{m}_- - v_0) f_0^+ - a_0 f_1^+. \end{aligned}$$

Now using (3.16) we get

$$\xi(\lambda) = 2i \sin q\varkappa \cdot \operatorname{Re} \alpha - 2 \sin q\varkappa \cdot \operatorname{Im} \alpha, \ \lambda \in \sigma_{\mathrm{ac}}(H^0).$$

Then we have $\mathcal{F} = \xi \xi^* = 4(1 - \Delta^2)(\operatorname{Re} \alpha)^2 + (2 \sin q\varkappa \cdot \operatorname{Im} \alpha)^2$. Denote $A = \operatorname{Re} \alpha - 1$ and $J = 2 \sin q\varkappa \cdot \operatorname{Im} \alpha$. Hence

$$\xi(\lambda) = 2i \sin q\varkappa (1 + A) - J. \tag{3.21}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} J &= -\left[\frac{a_0}{a_0^0} \varphi_q \tilde{\vartheta}_1 + \frac{a_0}{a_0^0} \phi \tilde{\varphi}_1 + \frac{v_0}{a_0^0} \varphi_q \tilde{\vartheta}_0 + \frac{v_0}{a_0^0} \phi \tilde{\varphi}_0 - \phi \tilde{\vartheta}_0 + \vartheta_{q+1} \tilde{\varphi}_0 . \right] \\ &= -\left[\frac{a_0}{a_0^0} \varphi_q \tilde{\vartheta}_1 + \phi (\frac{a_0}{a_0^0} \tilde{\varphi}_1 - \tilde{\vartheta}_0) + \frac{v_0}{a_0^0} (\varphi_q \tilde{\vartheta}_0 + \phi \tilde{\varphi}_0) + \vartheta_{q+1} \tilde{\varphi}_0 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

As for $\lambda \in \sigma_{ac}(H^0)$, $\operatorname{Im} m_+ = \varphi_q^{-1} \sin q\kappa$, we get

$$\operatorname{Re} \alpha = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{a_0}{a_0^0} \tilde{\varphi}_1 + \frac{v_0}{a_0^0} \tilde{\varphi}_0 + \tilde{\vartheta}_0 \right], \quad A = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\frac{a_0}{a_0^0} \tilde{\varphi}_1 - \varphi_1 \right) + \frac{v_0}{a_0^0} \tilde{\varphi}_0 + (\tilde{\vartheta}_0 - \vartheta_0) \right]. \tag{3.22}$$

Identity (3.20) follows from (2.10). □

Lemma 3.1 shows that ξ is analytic on Λ. We define the states by Definition 1.

Resolvent. The kernel of the resolvent of H is

$$R(n,m) = \langle e_n, (J - \lambda)^{-1} e_m \rangle = -\frac{f_n^- f_m^+}{\{f^-, f^+\}} = -\frac{F_{n,m}}{a_0^0 \xi}, \ n < m, \tag{3.23}$$

where $e_n = (\delta_{n,j})_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}$, $F_{n,m} = \varphi_q f_n^- f_m^+$.

The function $R(n,m)$ is meromorphic on Λ for each $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We have

$$F_{n,m} = \varphi_q \tilde{\vartheta}_n \tilde{\vartheta}_m + (\phi + i\sin q\varkappa)\tilde{\vartheta}_n \tilde{\varphi}_m + (\phi - i\sin q\varkappa)\tilde{\varphi}_n \tilde{\vartheta}_m - \vartheta_{q+1}\tilde{\varphi}_n \tilde{\varphi}_m.$$

The zeros of ξ define the bound states and resonances as $F_{n,m}$ is locally bounded. This motivates Definition 1.

We get $\mathcal{F} = 4(1-\Delta^2)(1+A)^2 + J^2$, where J, A are polynomials, and $A, J \equiv 0$ if $u_j, v_j \equiv 0$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Using (3.17) and $4(1-\Delta^2) = (2\sin q\varkappa)^2$ we have also $\mathcal{F} = 4(1-\Delta^2) + \mathcal{S}(\lambda)$, where $\mathcal{S}(\lambda) = \frac{\varphi_q^2(\lambda)}{(a_0^0)^2} s(\lambda) s^*(\lambda)$. Valid for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

Lemma 3.2. *1) We have* $\mathcal{F} = 4(1-\Delta^2)(1+A)^2 + J^2 = 4(1-\Delta^2) + \mathcal{S}(\lambda)$ *is polynomial and* $\mathcal{F}(\lambda) > 0$ *and* $\mathcal{S}(\lambda) \geqslant 0$ *on each interval* $(\lambda_{k-1}^+, \lambda_k^-)$, $k = 1, \ldots, q$. *The function* $\mathcal{F}$ *has even number of zeros on each interval* $[\lambda_k^-, \lambda_k^+]$, $k = 1, \ldots, q-1$ *and* $\mathcal{F}$ *has only simple zeros at* $\lambda_k^\pm$, $\gamma_k \neq \emptyset$.
2) If $\gamma_k = (\lambda_k^-, \lambda_k^+) = \emptyset$ *for some* $k \neq 0$, *then each* $f_n^\pm(\cdot)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, *is analytic in some disk* $B(\lambda_k^+, \epsilon)$, $\epsilon > 0$. *Moreover,* $\mu_k = \lambda_k^\pm$ *is a double zero of* $\mathcal{F}$ *and* $\lambda_k^\pm$ *is not a state of* J.
3) Let $\lambda \in \gamma_k^+$ *be a bound state for some* $k = 0, \ldots, q$, *i.e.* $\xi(\lambda) = 0$. *Then* $\lambda \in \gamma_k^-$ *is not an antibound state and* $\xi(\lambda) \neq 0$.
4) $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ *is a zero of* $\mathcal{F}$ *iff* $\lambda \in \Lambda$ *is a zero of* ξ *with the same multiplicity.*

Proof. 1) As $\mathcal{F} = 4(1-\Delta^2)(1+A)^2 + J^2$, it is clear that $\mathcal{F}(\lambda) > 0$ and $\mathcal{S}(\lambda) \geqslant 0$ on each interval $(\lambda_{k-1}^+, \lambda_k^-)$, $k = 1, \ldots, q$. Due to $\mathcal{F}(\lambda_k^\pm) \geqslant 0$, we get that $\mathcal{F}$ has even number of zeros in each interval $[\lambda_k^-, \lambda_k^+]$, $k = 1, \ldots, q-1$. We have $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_0 + \mathcal{S}$, $\mathcal{F}_0 = 4(1-\Delta^2)$. We consider the case $\lambda = \lambda_k^+$, the proof for $\lambda = \lambda_k^-$ is similar. If $\mathcal{F}(\lambda) = 0$, then we get $\mathcal{S}(\lambda) = 0$, since $\Delta^2(\lambda_k^+) = 1$. Moreover, $\mathcal{F}_0'(\lambda_k^+) = -2\Delta(\lambda_k^+)\Delta'(\lambda_k^+) > 0$ and $\mathcal{S}'(\lambda_k^+) \geqslant 0$, which gives that $\lambda = \lambda_k^+$ is a simple zero of $\mathcal{F}$.

2) Suppose $\mu_k = \lambda_k^- = \lambda_k^+$. The function $m_\pm$ is analytic on $\Gamma = \mathbb{C} \setminus \cup \overline{\gamma}_k$ and hence each $f_n^\pm(\cdot)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, is analytic in Γ. Moreover (2.14) yields $\phi(\mu_k) = \varphi_q(\mu_k) = \vartheta_{q+1}(\mu_k) = 0$ and then $J(\mu_k) = 0$ Thus the function $\mathcal{F}$ has at least double zero at μ_k.

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.3 in [K1]. ☐

4 Small perturbations

Here we redefine the perturbation coefficients and write tv_j, tu_j, instead of v_j, u_j.

For $\lambda \in \gamma_n = (\lambda_n^-, \lambda_n^+)$ we have $1 - \Delta^2(\lambda) < 0$ and $\mathcal{F}(\lambda) = 4(1-\Delta^2)(1+A)^2 + J^2 < 4(1-\Delta^2) + J(t)^2$. As $J(t) = \mathcal{O}(t)$ then for t small enough we have $\mathcal{F}(\lambda) < 0$. As on each zone $(\lambda_{n-1}^+, \lambda_n^-)$ we have $\mathcal{F} > 0$ then there are at least two states on $[\lambda_n^-, \lambda_n^+]$.

From Lemma 3.1 it follows that for t small we have with $\lambda = \lambda(t)$

$$\xi(\lambda) = 2(-1)^{q-k+1}\sinh v(\lambda)(1 + \mathcal{O}(t)) - J(\lambda), \quad J(\lambda) = \mathcal{O}(t),\ v(\lambda) = \mathcal{O}(t),$$

where $v = \operatorname{Im} \varkappa$ and $\pm v(\lambda) > 0$ for $\lambda \in \gamma_k^{\pm}$. Let $\lambda_0 = \lambda_k^-(t)$ or $\lambda_0 = \lambda_k^+(t)$, $t > 0$. Then λ_0 is the bound (antibound) state iff $-(-1)^{q-k}J(\lambda_0) > 0$ ($-(-1)^{q-k}J(\lambda_0) < 0$ respectively) and i) in Theorem 1.3 follows.

Proof of ii) in Theorem 1.3.

We denote $y_k = \varphi_k^{(j)}$ a sin-type polynomial for the $j-$shifted problem

$$a_{k+j-1}^0 y_{k-1} + a_{k+j}^0 y_{k+1} + b_{k+j}^0 y_k = \lambda y_k,\ k \in \mathbb{Z},\ y_0^{(j)} = 0,\ y_1^{(j)} = 1. \tag{4.24}$$

We have (see Toda [To])

$$\varphi_q \psi_n^+ \psi_n^- = \frac{a_0^0}{a_n^0} \varphi_q^{(n)}$$

and put $\varphi(\lambda, n+k, k) = \varphi_n^{(k)}$. Then $\varphi(\lambda, k, k) = 0$, $\varphi(\lambda, k+1, k) = 1$.

From [Kh1] or [EMT] it follows that we have representation

$$f_n^+ = \psi_n^+ + t \sum_{k=n+1}^{p+1} (\breve{J}K(\lambda, n, .))_k f_k^+ = \psi_n^+ + t \sum_{k=n+1}^{p+1} (\breve{J}K(\lambda, n, .))_k \psi_k^+ + \mathcal{O}(t^2),$$

where

$$(\breve{J}K(\lambda, n, .))_k = \frac{\varphi(\lambda, n, k-1)}{a_{k-1}^0} u_{k-1} + \frac{\varphi(\lambda, n, k)}{a_k^0} v_k + \frac{\varphi(\lambda, n, k+1)}{a_{k+1}^0} u_k. \tag{4.25}$$

Moreover

$$\tilde{\varphi}_0 = \varphi_0 + t \sum_{k=1}^{p+1} (\breve{J}K(\lambda, 0, .))_k \varphi_k + \mathcal{O}(t^2),\ \tilde{\varphi}_1 = \varphi_1 + t \sum_{k=2}^{p+1} (\breve{J}K(\lambda, 1, .))_k \varphi_k + \mathcal{O}(t^2),$$

$$\tilde{\vartheta}_0 = \vartheta_0 + t \sum_{k=1}^{p+1} (\breve{J}K(\lambda, 0, .))_k \vartheta_k + \mathcal{O}(t^2),\ \tilde{\vartheta}_1 = \vartheta_1 + t \sum_{k=2}^{p+1} (\breve{J}K(\lambda, 1, .))_k \vartheta_k + \mathcal{O}(t^2).$$

Denote $\sum_{k=n+1}^{p+1} (\breve{J}K(\lambda, n, .))_k \varphi_k = P_n$ and $\sum_{k=n+1}^{p+1} (\breve{J}K(\lambda, n, .))_k \vartheta_k = T_n$. Then we get

$$J = -t \left[\varphi_q T_1 + \phi(P_1 - T_0) + \frac{v_0}{a_0^0} \varphi_q \vartheta_0 + \vartheta_{q+1} P_0 + \frac{u_0}{a_0^0} \phi \right] + \mathcal{O}(t^2),$$

$$A = t \frac{1}{2} \left[P_1 + T_0 + \frac{u_0}{a_0^0} \right] + \mathcal{O}(t^2).$$

We denote J_1 respectively A_1 the coefficient for t in the expansion: $J = tJ_1 + \mathcal{O}(t^2)$ respectively $A = tA_1 + \mathcal{O}(t^2)$. Then

$$J_1 = -\left[\varphi_q T_1 + \phi(P_1 - T_0) + \frac{v_0}{a_0^0} \varphi_q + \vartheta_{q+1} P_0 + \frac{u_0}{a_0^0} \phi \right] = -\left[\varphi_q \sum_{k=2}^{p+1} \breve{J}K(\lambda, 1, k) \vartheta_k \right.$$

$$\left. + \phi \left(\sum_{k=2}^{p+1} \breve{J}K(\lambda, 1, k) \varphi_k - \sum_{k=2}^{p+1} \breve{J}K(\lambda, 0, k) \vartheta_k \right) + \frac{v_0}{a_0^0} \varphi_q + \vartheta_{q+1} \sum_{k=1}^{p+1} \breve{J}K(\lambda, 0, k) \varphi_k + \frac{u_0}{a_0^0} \phi \right],$$

$$A_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{k=2}^{p+1} \breve{J}K(\lambda, 1, k) \varphi_k + \sum_{k=1}^{p+1} \breve{J}K(\lambda, 0, k) \vartheta_k + \frac{u_0}{a_0^0} \right).$$

We restrict ourself to the case $u_j = 0$ as otherwise the formulas would be too cumbersome due to three terms in (4.25)). Using that $\varphi(\lambda, 0, k) = -\frac{a_k^0}{a_0^0}\varphi_k$, $\varphi(\lambda, 1, k) = \frac{a_k^0}{a_0^0}\vartheta_k$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_1 = & -\Bigg[\varphi_q \sum_{k=2}^{p} \frac{v_k}{a_k^0}\varphi(\lambda, 1, k)\vartheta_k + \frac{v_0}{a_0^0}\varphi_q + \phi\left(\sum_{k=2}^{p} \frac{v_k}{a_k^0}\varphi(\lambda, 1, k)\varphi_k - \sum_{k=2}^{p} \frac{v_k}{a_k^0}\varphi(\lambda, 0, k)\vartheta_k\right) + \\
& + \vartheta_{q+1} \sum_{k=1}^{p} \frac{v_k}{a_k^0}\varphi(\lambda, 0, k)\varphi_k\Bigg] = \\
& = -\sum_{k=2}^{p} \frac{v_k}{a_k^0}\left[\varphi_q\varphi(\lambda, 1, k)\vartheta_k + \phi\left(\varphi(\lambda, 1, k)\varphi_k - \varphi(\lambda, 0, k)\vartheta_k\right) + \vartheta_{q+1}\varphi(\lambda, 0, k)\varphi_k\right] - \\
& - \vartheta_{q+1}\frac{v_1}{a_1^0}\varphi(\lambda, 0, 1)\varphi_1 - \frac{v_0}{a_0^0}\varphi_q = -\frac{1}{a_0^0}\sum_{k=2}^{p} v_k\left[\varphi_q\vartheta_k^2 + 2\phi\vartheta_k\varphi_k - \vartheta_{q+1}\varphi_k^2\right] + \frac{v_1}{a_0^0}\vartheta_{q+1} - \frac{v_0}{a_0^0}\varphi_q = \\
& = -\frac{1}{a_0^0}\left(\sum_{k=2}^{p} v_k F_k^0\right) + \frac{v_1}{a_0^0}\vartheta_{q+1} - \frac{v_0}{a_0^0}\varphi_q,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $F_k^0 = \varphi_q\vartheta_k^2 + 2\phi\vartheta_k\varphi_k - \vartheta_{q+1}\varphi_k^2 = \varphi_q\psi_k^+\psi_k^-$. Similar

$$
A_1 = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=2}^{p} \frac{v_k}{a_k^0}\left(\varphi(\lambda, 1, k)\varphi_k + \varphi(\lambda, 0, k)\vartheta_k\right) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=2}^{p} \frac{v_k}{a_0^0}\left(\vartheta_k\varphi_k - \varphi_k\vartheta_k\right) = 0.
$$

Let $\lambda_0 = \lambda_k^-(t)$ and $\lambda^{(0)}$ be the left endpoint λ_k^- or let $\lambda_0 = \lambda_k^+(t)$ and $\lambda^{(0)}$ be the right endpoint λ_k^+. Let $\lambda \equiv \lambda(t) = \lambda^{(0)} + t\lambda^{(1)} + t^2\lambda^{(2)} + \mathcal{O}(t^3)$ be solution of $\mathcal{F}(\lambda) = 0$. Let $J(\lambda_0) = tJ_1(\lambda_0) + \mathcal{O}(t^2)$. Then $\lambda^{(1)} = 0$ and

$$
\lambda_0(t) = \lambda^{(0)} + t^2\frac{J_1^2(\lambda^{(0)})}{4(\Delta^2)'(\lambda^{(0)})} + \mathcal{O}(t^3),
$$

which can be obtained as in the example in Section 6. Using the properties of the polynomial $\mathcal{F}_0 = 4(1 - \Delta^2)$ we get $-\mathcal{F}_0'(\lambda_k^-) = 4(\Delta^2)'(\lambda_k^-) > 0$ and $-\mathcal{F}_0'(\lambda_k^+) = 4(\Delta^2)'(\lambda_k^+) < 0$, $k = 1, \ldots, q - 1$.

Using $F_k^0 = \varphi_q\vartheta_k^2 + 2\phi\vartheta_k\varphi_k - \vartheta_{q+1}\varphi_k^2 = \varphi_q\left(\vartheta_k + \frac{\phi}{\varphi_q}\varphi_k\right)^2 + \frac{1-\Delta^2}{\varphi_q}\varphi_k^2$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_1(\lambda_n^\pm) &= -\frac{\varphi_q(\lambda_n^\pm)}{a_0^0}\sum_{k=0}^{p} v_k|\psi_k^+(\lambda_n^\pm)|^2 = -\frac{\varphi_q(\lambda_n^\pm)}{a_0^0}\sum_{k=0}^{p} v_k\left(\vartheta_k(\lambda_n^\pm) + \frac{\phi(\lambda_n^\pm)}{\varphi_q(\lambda_n^\pm)}\varphi_k(\lambda_n^\pm)\right)^2, \\
J_1(\lambda) &= -\frac{\varphi_q}{a_0^0}\sum_{n=0}^{p} v_n\psi_n^+\psi_n^- = -\frac{1}{a_0^0}\sum_{n=0}^{p} v_n F_n^0 = -\sum_{n=0}^{p}\frac{v_n}{a_n^0}\varphi_q^{(n)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $(\phi(\lambda_n^\pm))^2 = -\vartheta_{q+1}(\lambda_n^\pm)\varphi_q(\lambda_n^\pm)$, which achieves the proof of ii) in Theorem 1.3. ☐

The Remark after Theorem 1.3 follows from the following inequalities.

If $\lambda_n^\pm \neq \mu_n$, $n = 1, \ldots, q-1$, then we have $\overline{\psi^+(\lambda_n^\pm)} = \psi^-(\lambda_n^\pm)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
&(-1)^{q-n}\varphi_q(\lambda_n^-) = (-1)^{q-n}\frac{a_0^0}{A}\prod_{j=1}^{q-1}\left(\lambda_n^- - \mu_j\right) > 0,\\
&(-1)^{q-1-n}\varphi_q(\lambda_n^+) = (-1)^{q-1-n}\frac{a_0^0}{A}\prod_{j=1}^{q-1}\left(\lambda_n^+ - \mu_j\right) > 0,\\
&(-1)^{q-1}\varphi_q(\lambda_0^-) > 0, \quad (-1)^{q-1}\varphi_q(\lambda_q^+) > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

If $v_k > 0$, $k = 1, \ldots, p$. Then for $n = 1, \ldots, q-1$, we have $(-1)^{q-n+1}J_1(\lambda_n^-) > 0$ and $\lambda_n^-(t)$ is the bound state and $\lambda_n^+(t)$ is the antibound state.

If $\lambda_n^- = \mu_n$, for some $n = 1, \ldots, q-1$, then

$$J_1(\lambda_n^-) = \frac{\vartheta_{q+1}(\mu_n)}{a_0^0}\sum_{k=1}^{p} v_k(\varphi_k(\mu_n))^2 = -\frac{1}{A}\prod_{j=1}^{q-1}(\lambda_n^- - \nu_j)\sum_{k=1}^{p} v_k\varphi_k(\mu_n))^2$$

and

$$(-1)^{q-n+1}\vartheta_{q+1}(\mu_n) = (-1)^{q-n+1}\frac{1}{A}\prod_{j=1}^{q-1}\left(\lambda_n^- - \nu_j\right) > 0.$$

Thus in this case the bound and the antibound states are just swaped with respect to the case $\lambda_n^\pm \neq \mu_n$. The proof of the Remark is finished.

5 Asymptotics

Theorem 5.1 (Asymptotics)**.** *The function $\xi = 2i\Omega\alpha$, which is analytic on Λ and has branch points at $\{E_j\}_{j=0}^{2q-1}$, has the following asymptotics as $\lambda \in \gamma_q^+$ and $\lambda \to \infty$:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi(\lambda) =& \frac{\lambda^q \prod_{j=0}^p a_j^0}{A\prod_{j=0}^p a_j}\left[1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)\right] \ \textit{if } \lambda \in \Lambda_+ \quad A = \prod_{j=0}^{q-1} a_j^0\\
=& \frac{\lambda^{2p+q-1}}{A\prod_{j=1}^p a_j^0 \prod_{j=1}^p a_j}\left[\frac{v_0}{a_0^0 a_0}((a_p^0)^2 - a_p^2) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)\right] \ \textit{for } \lambda \in \Lambda_- \textit{ and for } a_p^0 \neq a_p\\
=& \frac{\lambda^{2p+q-2}}{A\prod_{j=1}^p a_j^0 \prod_{j=1}^p a_j}\left[\frac{v_0}{a_0^0 a_0}(-(a_p^0)^2 v_p) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)\right] \ \textit{for } \lambda \in \Lambda_- \textit{ and for } a_p^0 = a_p.
\end{aligned}$$

The polynomial $\mathcal{F}(\lambda) = \xi(\lambda)\xi^*(\lambda)$ has the following asymptotics as $\lambda \to \infty$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}(\lambda) &= \frac{\lambda^{2p+2q-1}}{A^2\prod_{j=0}^p a_j^0 \prod_{j=0}^p a_j}\left(v_0((a_p^0)^2 - a_p^2) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)\right) \quad \textit{if } a_p^0 \neq a_p\\
&= \frac{\lambda^{2p+2q-2}}{A^2\prod_{j=0}^p a_j^0 \prod_{j=0}^p a_j}\left(v_0(-(a_p^0)^2 v_p) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)\right) \quad \textit{if } a_p^0 = a_p.
\end{aligned}$$

The theorem implies that the total number of states of H (counting with multiplicities) is either $2p+2q-1$ states if $a_p^0 \neq a_p$ or $2p+2q-2$ states if $a_p^0 = a_p$ and $b_p^0 \neq b_p$.
Proof. The proof follows from the asymptotics of $f_{p-n}^+(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda_\pm$ and $\lambda \to \infty$. The asymptotics for $\lambda \in \Lambda_+$ are well known and can be found for example in [T] and [EMT]. Note that the Jost function $f_0^+(\lambda)$ on Λ_- can be formally obtained as $(f_0^+(\lambda))^*$ for $\lambda \in \Gamma \simeq \Lambda_+$ by iteration of (1.3) starting with $n = p+1$ and $(f_{p+1}^+(\lambda))^* = \psi_{p+1}^-(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \Gamma$, where * denotes the complex conjugate.

Let f_n, ψ_n denote either f_n^+ respectively $\psi_n^+ = \vartheta_n + m_+\varphi_n$ or $(f_n^+)^*$ respectively $\psi_n^- = \vartheta_n + m_-\varphi_n$, for $\lambda \in \Gamma$. We start with

$$f_{p+1} = \psi_{p+1}, \quad f_p = \frac{a_p^0}{a_p}\psi_p.$$

Put $\Phi(j) = \frac{\psi_{j+1}}{\psi_j}$. Thus $\Phi(0) = m_\pm$. Then

$$\psi_p = \prod_{j=0}^{p-1}{}^{*}\Phi(j) = \begin{cases} \prod_{j=0}^{p-1}\Phi(j) & \text{for } p > 0 \\ 1 & \text{for } p = 0 \\ \prod_{j=0}^{p-1}(\Phi(j))^{-1} & \text{for } p < 0. \end{cases}$$

We have (see Teschl [T], $a^0(n) \equiv a_n^0$, $b^0(n) \equiv b_n^0$)

$$\Phi^\pm(\lambda, n) = \left(\frac{a^0(n)}{\lambda}\right)^{\pm 1} \left(1 \pm \frac{b^0(n + \substack{1\\0})}{\lambda} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2}\right)\right), \qquad \lambda \to \infty.$$

Put $\Psi(n) = \Phi^{-1}(n)$.

$$\Psi^\pm(\lambda, n) = \left(\frac{a^0(n)}{\lambda}\right)^{\mp 1} \left(1 \mp \frac{b^0(n + \substack{1\\0})}{\lambda} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2}\right)\right), \qquad \lambda \to \infty.$$

$$f_{p-1} = \frac{(\lambda - b_p)a_p^0\psi_p - a_p^2\psi_{p+1}}{a_p a_{p-1}} = \frac{\psi_{p+1}}{a_p a_{p-1}}\left((\lambda - b_p)a_p^0\Psi(p) - a_p^2\right) = \circledast;$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_{p-2} &= \frac{(\lambda - b_{p-1})a_{p-1}\circledast - a_{p-1}^2\frac{a_p^0}{a_p}\psi_p}{a_{p-1}a_{p-2}} = \\ &= \frac{\psi_{p+1}}{a_p a_{p-1} a_{p-2}}\left((\lambda - b_{p-1})\left[(\lambda - b_p)a_p^0\Psi(p) - a_p^2\right] - a_{p-1}^2 a_p^0\Psi(p)\right) = \circledast; \\ f_{p-3} &= \frac{(\lambda - b_{p-2})a_{p-2}\circledast - a_{p-2}^2\frac{\psi_{p+1}}{a_p a_{p-1}}\left((\lambda - b_p)a_p^0\Psi(p) - a_p^2\right)}{a_{p-2}a_{p-3}} = \\ &= \frac{\psi_{p+1}}{a_p \dots a_{p-3}}\left((\lambda - b_{p-2})\left[(\lambda - b_{p-1})\left[(\lambda - b_p)a_p^0\Psi(p) - a_p^2\right] - a_{p-1}^2 a_p^0\Psi(p)\right] - \right. \\ &\left. - a_{p-2}^2\left((\lambda - b_p)a_p^0\Psi(p) - a_p^2\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\Psi(p) \equiv \Psi^-(\lambda, p) = \frac{a_p}{\lambda}\left(1 + \frac{b_p}{\lambda} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2}\right)\right)$, $\lambda \to \infty$.

$$\psi_{p+1} \equiv \psi^-_{p+1}(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^{p+1}}{\prod_{j=0}^{p} a_j^0}\left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda}\sum_{j=0}^{p} b_j^0 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2}\right)\right), \qquad \lambda \to \infty.$$

Then

$$(\lambda - b_p)a_p^0\Psi(p) - a_p^2 = ((a_p^0)^2 - a_p^2) + \frac{(a_p^0)^2}{\lambda}((b_p^0) - b_p) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2}\right).$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_{p-n} = {}& \frac{\lambda^{p+1}}{\prod_{j=p-n}^{p} a_j \prod_{j=0}^{p} a_j^0} \\ & \cdot\left(\lambda^{n-1}((a_p^0)^2 - a_p^2) + \lambda^{n-2}\left[-((a_p^0)^2 - a_p^2)(\sum_{j=0}^{p} b_j^0 + \sum_{j=p-n+1}^{p-1} b_j) + (a_p^0)^2(b_p^0 - b_p)\right]\right) + \\ & + \mathcal{O}\left(\lambda^{p+n-2}\right); \\ f_0(\lambda) = {}& \frac{\lambda^{2p}}{\prod_{j=0}^{p} a_j \prod_{j=0}^{p} a_j^0} \\ & \cdot\left(((a_p^0)^2 - a_p^2) + \lambda^{-1}\left[-((a_p^0)^2 - a_p^2)(\sum_{j=0}^{p} b_j^0 + \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} b_j) + (a_p^0)^2(b_p^0 - b_p)\right]\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\lambda^{2p-2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

If $a_p = a_p^0$, then $f_0(\lambda) = A^{-2}\lambda^{2p-1}(b_p - b_p^0) + \mathcal{O}\left(\lambda^{2p-2}\right)$.

Now we can get the asymptotics of function ξ. Recall that

$$\xi = 2i\sin q\varkappa(\lambda)\alpha(\lambda) = \frac{\varphi_q}{a_0^0}w = \frac{\varphi_q}{a_0^0}\left\{f^-, f^+\right\} = \varphi_q(\lambda)(\frac{a_0}{a_0^0}f_1^+ + (\frac{v_0}{a_0^0} - m_-)f_0^+).$$

Using $m_\pm = \Phi(0)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi_q = \frac{\lambda^{q-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^{q-1} a_j^0} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{q-2}), \quad m_\pm = \left(\frac{a_0^0}{\lambda}\right)^{\pm 1}\left(1 \pm \frac{b^0\binom{1}{0}}{\lambda} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2}\right)\right), \\ &f_0^+ = \alpha_0^+\left[1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)\right], \quad f_1^+ = \alpha_1^+\frac{a_0^0}{\lambda}\left[1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)\right], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (f_0^+)^* = {}& \frac{\lambda^{2p}}{\prod_{j=0}^{p} a_j \prod_{j=0}^{p} a_j^0} \\ & \cdot\left(((a_p^0)^2 - a_p^2) + \lambda^{-1}\left[-((a_p^0)^2 - a_p^2)(\sum_{j=0}^{p} b_j^0 + \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} b_j) + (a_p^0)^2(b_p^0 - b_p)\right]\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\lambda^{2p-2}\right), \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(f_1^+)^* = {} & \frac{\lambda^{2p-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^{p} a_j \prod_{j=0}^{p} a_j^0} \\
& \cdot \left(((a_p^0)^2 - a_p^2) + \lambda^{-1} \left[-((a_p^0)^2 - a_p^2)(\sum_{j=0}^{p} b_j^0 + \sum_{j=2}^{p-1} b_j) + (a_p^0)^2 (b_p^0 - b_p) \right] \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\lambda^{2p-3}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\alpha_0^+ = \prod_{k=0}^{p} \frac{a_k^0}{a_k},\ \alpha_1^+ = \prod_{k=1}^{p} \frac{a_k^0}{a_k},\ \alpha_0^- = \prod_{k=-1}^{0} \frac{a_k^0}{a_k},\ \alpha_1^- = \frac{a_0^0}{a_0},
$$

we get, for $\lambda \in \Lambda_+$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi &= \frac{\lambda^{q-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^{q-1} a_j^0} \left[\frac{\alpha_1^+ a_0}{\lambda} \left\{ 1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \right\} + \left(\frac{\lambda}{a_0^0} + \mathcal{O}(1) \right) \alpha_0^+ \left\{ 1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \right\} \right], \\
&= \frac{\lambda^q \alpha_0^+}{a_0^0 \prod_{j=1}^{q-1} a_j^0} \left[1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \right],
\end{aligned}
$$

and for $\lambda \in \Lambda_-$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi &= \frac{\lambda^{q-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^{q-1} a_j^0 \prod_{j=0}^{p} a_j^0 \prod_{j=1}^{p} a_j} \left[\frac{a_0}{a_0^0} \lambda^{2p-1} \left\{ ((a_p^0)^2 - a_p^2) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \right\} + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(\frac{v_0}{a_0^0} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \right) \frac{\lambda^{2p}}{a_0} \left\{ ((a_p^0)^2 - a_p^2) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \right\} \right] = \\
&= \frac{\lambda^{2p+q-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^{q-1} a_j^0 \prod_{j=0}^{p} a_j^0 \prod_{j=1}^{p} a_j} \left[\frac{v_0}{a_0^0 a_0} ((a_p^0)^2 - a_p^2) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \right] \quad \text{or} \\
&= \frac{\lambda^{2p+q-2}}{\prod_{j=1}^{q-1} a_j^0 \prod_{j=0}^{p} a_j^0 \prod_{j=1}^{p} a_j} \left[\frac{v_0}{a_0^0 a_0} (-(a_p^0)^2 v_p) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \right] \quad \text{if } a_p = a_p.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the polynomial $\mathcal{F}(\lambda) = \xi(\lambda)\xi^*(\lambda)$ has asymptotics

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}(\lambda) &= \frac{\lambda^{2p+2q-1}}{A^2 \prod_{j=0}^{p} a_j^0 \prod_{j=0}^{p} a_j} \left(v_0((a_p^0)^2 - a_p^2) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \right) \quad \text{or} \\
&= \frac{\lambda^{2p+2q-2}}{A^2 \prod_{j=0}^{p} a_j^0 \prod_{j=0}^{p} a_j} \left(v_0(-(a_p^0)^2 v_p) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \right) \quad \text{if } a_p^0 = a_p.
\end{aligned}
$$

□

6 Example: $p = 1,\ a_j = a_j^0$.

Let $p = 1$, $a_j = a_j^0$. Then $f_1^+ = \psi_1^+ = m_+$. Using that

$$
\psi_2^+ = \frac{(\lambda - b_1^0)\psi_1^+ - a_0^0 \psi_0^+}{a_1^0} = \frac{(\lambda - b_1^0) m_+ - a_0^0}{a_1^0}
$$

we get

$$f_0^+ = \frac{(\lambda - b_1)\psi_1^+ - a_1^0\psi_2^+}{a_0^0} = \frac{(\lambda - b_1)m_+ - (\lambda - b_1^0)m_+ + a_0^0}{a_0^0} = 1 - \frac{v_1}{a_0^0}m_+.$$

Thus we have $\tilde{\vartheta}_0 = 1$, $\tilde{\varphi}_0 = -\frac{v_1}{a_0^0}$, $\tilde{\vartheta}_1 = 0$, $\tilde{\varphi}_1 = 1$. Then

$$\xi(\lambda) = \frac{v_0}{a_0^0}\varphi_q(\lambda) - \frac{v_1}{a_0^0}\vartheta_{q+1} + (1 - \frac{v_0v_1}{(a_0^0)^2})m_+\varphi_q(\lambda) - m_-\varphi_q(\lambda).$$

Now

$$J = -\frac{v_0}{a_0^0}\varphi_q + \frac{v_1}{a_0^0}\vartheta_{q+1} + \frac{v_0v_1}{(a_0^0)^2}\phi, \quad A = -\frac{v_0v_1}{2(a_0^0)^2}.$$

If we write tv_j instead of v, then in the first order in t we get

$$\mathcal{F} = 4(1 - \Delta^2)(1 + A)^2 + J^2 = 4(1 - \Delta^2) + t^2\left(-\frac{v_0}{a_0^0}\varphi_q + \frac{v_1}{a_0^0}\vartheta_{q+1}\right)^2 + \mathcal{O}(t^3).$$

Denote $(-\frac{v_0}{a_0^0}\varphi_q + \frac{v_1}{a_0^0}\vartheta_{q+1})^2 = \mathcal{F}_2(\lambda)$. Let $\lambda^{(0)} = \lambda_k^+$ or $\lambda^{(0)} = \lambda_k^-$ for some $k = 1, \dots, q-1$. We look for solutions of $\mathcal{F}(\lambda) = 0$ in the form $\lambda(t) = \lambda^{(0)} + \lambda^{(1)}t + \lambda^{(2)}t^2 + \dots$. As $4(1 - \Delta^2(\lambda^{(0)})) = 0$ we get the Taylor expansion at $\lambda = \lambda^{(0)}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}(\lambda) = &- 4(\Delta^2)'(\lambda^{(0)})(\lambda^{(1)}t + \lambda^{(2)}t^2 + \dots) - 2(\Delta^2)''(\lambda^{(0)})(\lambda^{(1)}t + \lambda^{(2)}t^2 + \dots)^2 + \dots \\ &+ t^2\mathcal{F}_2(\lambda^{(0)}) + t^2\mathcal{F}_2(\lambda^{(0)})'(\lambda^{(1)}t + \lambda^{(2)}t^2 + \dots) + \mathcal{O}(t^3).\end{aligned}$$

As $(\Delta^2)'(\lambda^{(0)}) \neq 0$, $(\Delta^2)''(\lambda^{(0)}) \neq 0$, we get $\lambda^{(1)} = 0$,

$$\lambda^{(2)} = \frac{\mathcal{F}_2(\lambda^{(0)})}{4(\Delta^2)'(\lambda^{(0)})} = \frac{1}{4(\Delta^2)'(\lambda^{(0)})}\left(-\frac{v_0}{a_0^0}\varphi_q(\lambda^{(0)}) + \frac{v_1}{a_0^0}\vartheta_{q+1}(\lambda^{(0)})\right)^2$$

and $\lambda(t) = \lambda^{(0)} + \lambda^{(2)}t^2 + \mathcal{O}(t^3)$.

References

[BE] A Boutet de Monvel, I. Egorova. *Transformation operator for jacobi matrices with asymptotically periodic coefficients*. J. of Difference Eqs. Appl., 10 (2004), 711–727.

[DS1] D. Damanik, B. Simon. *Jost functions and Jost solutions for Jacobi matrices, I. A necessary and sufficient condition for Szegö asymptotics*. Invent. Math., 165(1) (2006), 1–50.

[DS1] D. Damanik, B. Simon. *Jost functions and Jost solutions for Jacobi matrices, II. Decay and analyticity*. Int. Math. Res. Not., Art. ID 19396, (2006).

[EMT] I. Egorova, J. Michor, G. Teschl. *Scattering Theory for Jacobi operators with quasi-periodic background*. Commun. Math: Phys., 264 (2006), 811–842.

[F1] N. Firsova. *Resonances of the perturbed Hill operator with exponentially decreasing extrinsic potential.* Mat. Zametki, 36 (1984), 711–724.

[Fr] R. Froese. *Asymptotic distribution of resonances in one dimension.* J. Diff. Eq., 137 (1997), 251–272.

[IK1] A. Iantchenko,E. Korotyaev. *Inverse resonance scattering for periodic Jacobi operators with finitely supported perturbations.* Preprint.

[IK2] A. Iantchenko, E. Korotyaev. *Schrödinger operator on the zigzag half-nanotube in magnetic field.* To be published in Math. Model. Nat. Phenom.

[IK3] A. Iantchenko, E. Korotyaev. *Periodic Jacobi operator with finitely supported perturbation on the half-lattice.* Preprint. Arxiv.

[Kh1] Ag. Kh. Khanmamedov. *The inverse scattering problem for a perturbed difference Hill equation.* Mathematical Notes, 85(3) (2009), 456–469.

[KM] F. Klopp, M. Marx. *The width of resonances for slowly varying perturbations of one-dimensional periodic Schrödinger operators.* Seminaire: EDP. 2005-2006, Exp. No. IV, Ecole Polytech., Palaiseau, (2006).

[K1] E. Korotyaev. *1D Schrödinger operator with periodic plus compactly supported potentials.* Arxiv.

[K2] E. Korotyaev. *Inverse resonance scattering for Jacobi operators.* Preprint. Arxiv.

[K3] E. Korotyaev. *Inverse resonance scattering on the real line.* Inverse Problems, 21(1) (2005), 325–341.

[MW] M. Marletta, R. Weikard. *Stability for the inverse resonance problem for a Jacobi operator with complex potential.* Inverse Problems, 23(4) (2007), 1677–1688.

[Mo] Pierre van. Moerbeke. *The Spectrum of Jacobi Matrices.* Inventiones Math., 37 (1976), 45–81.

[P] L. Percolab. *The inverse problem for the periodic Jacobi matrix.* Teor. Funk. An. Pril., 42 (1984), 107–121.

[S] B. Simon. *Resonances in one dimension and Fredholm determinants.* J. Funct. Anal., 178(2) (2000), 396–420.

[T] G. Teschl. *Jacobi operators and completely integrable nonlinear lattices.* Providence, RI: AMS, (2000) (Math. Surveys Monographs, V. 72.)

[To] M. Toda. *Theory of nonlinear larrices.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany (2000).

[Z] M. Zworski. *Distribution of poles for scattering on the real line.* J. Funct. Anal., 73 (1987), 277–296.